EXHIBIT A (I of V)

Eli Mistovich, Jr.

09/22/2005

CERTIFIED ORIGINAL VOLUME: I	$1 \mid$
	Ī
2 LEGALINK BOSTON PAGES: 1 - 330	
3 EXHIBITS: 11 -	27
4 COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS	
5 MIDDLESEX, ss. SUPERIOR COURT DEPART CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-	
6 x	
T DIT MICHOVICUL TO	
Plaintiff, 8 Vs.	
9	
ELIZABETH BOWDEN, STEPHEN URBAN, STEPHEN NEVERO, AND ALISON LEATON, Defendants.	
11 x	
DEPOSITION OF ELI MISTOVICH, JR.	
13 Thursday, September 22, 2005, 11:30 a.m.	
Prince, Lobel, Glovsky & Tye, LLP	
15 585 Commercial Street	
Boston, Massachusetts 02109	
17	
18 Debereh I Maron DDD	
Reporter: Deborah L. Maren, RPR	
LegaLink Boston	
320 Congress Street, Boston, MA 02210	
(617)542-0039 22	
23	
24	

24

32

1	Q. I'd like to turn to Elizabeth Bowden first.
2	Name each and every way you think that Ms. Bowden
3	wrongfully interfered with your employment relationship
4	with MBCR.
5	MR. TEAGUE: Note my objection to the form
6	of the question. You can answer it as best you can.
7	A. Could you repeat that question?
8	Q. Sure. I want you to name each thing you
9	think that Ms. Bowden did or said that wrongly
10	interfered with your employment relationship with MBCR.
11	A. She presided over the first inquisition on
12	March 26th and was the took the lead and asked
13	questions and made several allegations that apparently
14	she got some information from Alison Leaton, which
15	ultimately led her, to the best of my knowledge, to
16	recommend my termination to General Manager Lydon.
17	Q. So just so that I'm clear, what parts of
18	that are you saying that she did that were wrongful?
19	Are you saying that participating in the
20	March 26th meeting was wrong, her participation in that
21	meeting?
22	A. I'm saying Bowden, as head of HR, giving

credence to allegations from Ms. Leaton, an independent

contractor whose six-month contract coincidentally was

	33
1	up at the end of March of 04, versus an employee with a
2	28-year above average career.
3	Q. So you're saying it was wrongful for her to
4	believe Alison Leaton instead of you? Is that correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. In addition to her believing Alison
7	Leaton and do you have any reason to believe, as you
8	sit here today, that she didn't believe strike that.
9	As you understand it, she chose to believe
10	Alison Leaton; is that correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And as far as you know, she believed that
13	you were, in fact, discriminating against black
14	applicants; is that correct?
15	A. That was her allegation.
16	Q. That was whose allegation?
17	A. Leaton and Bowden.
18	Q. As far as you understand it or as far as
19	you know, Ms. Bowden believed Alison Leaton's
20	allegations that you had, in fact, discriminated against
21	black applicants; is that correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And what you found was wrongful about that
24	was that her not believing you instead of Alison

A. Yes.

- Q. Apart from that, apart from her believing Alison Leaton instead of you, are there other things that you think Ms. Bowden did that were wrongful and wrongfully interfered with your employment?
 - A. Could you ask that question one more time?
- Q. Okay. I understand you to say that

 Ms. Bowden wrongfully interfered with your employment by
 choosing to believe Alison Leaton over you in terms of
 whether or not you were discriminating against black
 applicants. Is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm just wondering whether there was any other way that you thought Elizabeth Bowden wrongfully interfered with your employment with MBCR.
- A. Well, it was apparent to me she was the driving force behind the decision to terminate me.
 - Q. And what makes you say that?
- A. Testimony last week by Mr. Urban, Nevero, and Bowden was in on a meeting with Leaton and recommended my termination.
- Q. Any other reasons besides that that makes you say that she was the driving force in your

taking over, held monthly meetings with all commuter rail management to again try to arrange for a smooth transition, including discussions of benefits, what

5 entire benefits package.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Q. And these were meetings with a bunch of managers and Ms. Bowden?

options you had for health care, life insurance, the

- A. Yes.
- Q. And apart from meetings -- participating in these monthly meetings, did you have any other dealings with Ms. Bowden?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. On what issues?
- MBCR and their pension consultants were trying to force all Amtrak managers to transfer their 401K lump sums to MBCR's custodian, ING. And at one management meeting -- I recognized that as being illegal. And at one management meeting I spoke up and made it known that there were three options: That managers could either leave their 401K with Amtrak's custodian Vanguard, the lowest-cost mutual fund company in the world and most efficient, incidentally; or transfer it to MBCR's custodian ING, which concerned me because they have high

	37
1	fees, high expenses, poor performance and 12B1
2	redemption. It didn't seem like any anybody acting in a
3	fiduciary capacity would could, in good conscience,
4	recommend that.
5	Or the third option was to make an IRA
6	rollover and take control of your own lump sum. Those
7	were the three legal options that I specified at the
8	general meeting and a follow-up meeting amongst a
9	smaller group, which included Ms. Bowden.
10	Q. And when were these meetings that you're
11	referring to?
12	A. Spring of 03. I can't remember the exact
13	dates.
14	Q. And do you recall if Ms. Bowden said
15	anything in response to this issue?
16	A. I can't remember exactly. At the large
17	meeting at the second smaller meeting she was there.
18	. I can't recall any particular comment. I think the
19	pension consultant from New Jersey we refer to them
20	as the Slick Brothers they did most of the talking.
21	Q. And in the end, were other options made
22	available to employees?
23	A. At the conclusion of the second meeting,

after listening to the discussion, the general manager,

	38
1	Kevin Lydon, said that you know, it was clear that
2	they'd have to make all three options available to all
3	employees.
4	And I remember him saying that, you know,
5	when this was said and done, he'd like to sit down with
6 ·	me and maybe I could recommend what he should be doing
7	with his 401K.
8	Q. Did anybody else raise concerns about the
9	initial lack of options besides yourself?
10	A. Not that I can recall.
11	Q. Apart from the meetings that you've
12	referenced with Ms. Bowden, did you have any dealings
13	with Ms. Bowden on any other issues?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. What were the other issues?
16	A. Upon the transition, all managers needed to
17	attend an enrollment session to enroll for all the
18	benefits, which I believe there were two sessions. I
19	attended the first of the two and filled out all the
20	forms.
21	And at the second session of BET, I handed
22	Ms. Bowden a complete manilla folder with all my forms,
23	100 percent complete, for all my benefits.
24	It became known to us at some time after

09/22/2005

Ms. Bowden, names like Brian Testa, Lisa, Adam, Emily, and others that I'm sure I'm forgetting. It ultimately took nine months for me to get two-thirds of the life insurance I had previously had with Amtrak. And I was finally notified of that approximately one or two weeks before I was terminated. I'm sure it was just a coincidence.

- Q. Apart from the issues that you've mentioned, did you have any dealings with Ms. Bowden on any other issues?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. Did you enjoy a good relationship with Ms. Bowden?
- A. I thought so with the exception that I could never understand the delay of why it took nine months to procure two-thirds of the life insurance when MBCR was supposed to provide equal benefits.
- Q. Did you have any difficulty with Ms. Bowden before the incident that led to your termination?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did Ms. Bowden ever say anything to you that was complimentary about your performance as an MBCR employee?

	44
1	life insurance, she assured me that she would work with
2	me and get it taken care of in an expeditious fashion,
3	which did not happen.
4	Q. Any other statements that she made to you
5	that you think weren't true?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Did anybody ever tell you that they
8	believed Ms. Bowden lied?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Did Ms. Bowden ever do or say anything in
11	your presence that indicated to you that she wanted your
12	employment with MBCR to end?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Did you learn that Ms. Bowden did or said
15	anything outside of your presence that indicated she
16	wanted your employment with MBCR to end?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. What was that?
19	A. As I alluded to earlier, it's my
20	understanding that she after the first inquisition,
21	she made a recommendation to terminate my employment to
22	the general manager.
23	Q. And that was the information that you
24	learned as a result of the deposition last week?

	45
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Apart from that statement, did you ever
3	learn from any other person that Ms. Bowden did or said
4	anything outside of your presence that indicated she
5	wanted your employment with MBCR to end?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Are you aware of any reasons that
8	Ms. Bowden may have wanted your employment to end?
9	A. Possibly the the only possibility would
10	be the incident with the life insurance and the 401K.
11	That's the only thing I can conceive of why she would
12	she would possibly think that way.
13	Q. And what was it about the life insurance
14	that made you think she might have wanted your
15	employment to end?
16	A. I can't say for sure. It dragged on for
17	nine months, which was inconceivable to me, that the
18	head of HR couldn't make this happen in less than nine
19	months.
20	Q. This was the delay in your signing up for
21	life insurance?
22	A. No. It was I signed up at the original
23	enrollment. But that was delayed. Then I was on
24	vacation for two weeks in August

	46
1	Q. Right.
2	A and apparently missed some late
3	enrollment. And starting in September, Ms. Bowden
4	assured me that she would get me enrolled in life
5	insurance. And that did not happen until March of 04.
6	Q. And I'm just trying to understand why you
7	think she may have wanted your employment to end because
8	it took a while for you to get enrolled in life
9	insurance.
10	A. Speculation on my part. I'm not sure.
11	Q. Did she do or say anything that indicated
12	she harbored you any ill will in your attempt to get
13	life insurance?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Were there other people who were similarly
16	late in getting enrolled in their life insurance?
17	A. Not to my knowledge.
18	Q. Were you aware of the reason why there was
19	a delay in your getting enrolled?
20	A. Can you clarify the delay?
21	Q. Okay. You said that you from September
22	through March it took you say the paperwork went in
23	in September, and it took until March for you to get
24	life insurance; is that correct?

70	Correct.
Δ	COFFEC

- Q. So it's that delay I'm referring to. Do you know why there was a delay between September and March for you to get enrolled in life insurance?
- A. I'm not certain. I think what contributed to it was there was a series of HR -- probably not employees because MBCR doesn't hire many HR employees. They apparently were independent contractors working for Ms. Bowden. And there was a high rate of turnover, approximately one per month. They would stay for a month and leave. And so there was no continuity in dealing to try to get this matter resolved.
- Q. To your knowledge, this was just a matter of getting yourself enrolled in life insurance just like all the other employees; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And Ms. Bowden, to your knowledge, wasn't trying to deprive you of your life insurance; is that correct?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Would Ms. Bowden have any motivation for trying to deprive you of your life insurance that you're aware of?
 - A. Not that I know of.

	49
1	would make sure that MBCR offered the three options that
2	you felt needed to get offered; is that correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And did anybody from MBCR indicate in any
5	way that MBCR was not willing to offer those three
6	options?
7	A. The pension consultants weren't too happy.
8	But nobody at MBCR objected.
9	Q. And did you have any reason to believe that
10	Ms. Bowden was concerned about offering the three
11	options that you felt needed to get offered?
12	A. No.
13	Q. And did Ms. Bowden ever say anything to you
14	that indicated she was concerned about your raising that
15	issue?
16	A. No.
17	Q. And did anybody ever tell you that
18	Ms. Bowden said anything that indicated she was
19	concerned about raising that issue?
20	A. No.
21	Q. And did Ms. Bowden treat you in any way
22	that you considered hostile until the meeting on March
23	26th?
24	A. No.

	50
1	Q. Did you consider that you suffered any
2	different terms and conditions in your employment as a
3	result of raising the issue about the 401K?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Did you suffer any changes or differences
6	in your terms and conditions of your employment as a
7	result of raising the issue about the life insurance?
8	A. No.
9	Q. So I just want to make sure I understand
10	why you think Ms. Bowden may have wanted to end your
11	employment because you raised the issue about the 401K.
12	What was it about that that makes you think she, in
13	particular, may have wanted to end your employment?
14	A. As I said previously, I believe I cost
15	somebody a big payday. Somebody was going to get a
16	percentage of all the money transferred. Somebody lost
17	out because of me speaking up. I can't prove who it
18	was, but there is little doubt in my mind that I cost
19	somebody a payday.
20	Q. Right. Are you saying that you cost
21	Ms. Bowden a payday?
22	A. I cannot prove that.
23	Q. I know you tell me you can't prove it. Do
24	you have any reason to believe that you were affecting

1	her economically?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Apart from the issues about the 401K and
4	the life insurance, do you have any other reason to
5	think that she may have been out to get you in some way
6	or to end your employment?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Now, turning to the meeting on March 26th,
9	is it your understanding that when you met with
10	Ms. Bowden, Mr. Nevero and Mr. Urban on March 26th that
11	that was as part of an investigation into allegations
12	made by Alison Leaton?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And is it also your understanding that
15	Ms. Leaton was claiming that you screened out applicants
16	that Ms. Leaton thought might be minority or black
17	because of where they lived?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you have any reason to conclude that
20	Ms. Bowden acted improperly in looking into these
21	allegations?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Why?
24	A. I believe Ms. Bowden's mind was made up

talking right now about just doing an investigation without dealing with the investigation that she did. Just the idea of doing an investigation. Was it appropriate for Ms. Bowden to investigate the allegations that Alison Leaton raised against you?

- A. Not for me to determine.
- Q. Do you have any reason to think that it was inappropriate for her to look into these allegations?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you have any reason to conclude that

 Ms. Bowden acted improperly in the manner in which she
 investigated these allegations?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Name each way that you thought it was improper.
- A. I thought it was, as I described earlier, similar to the Spanish inquisition. I was brought into a room with three people sitting there with no prior warning of what was going to be discussed so I could prepare.

Ms. Bowden started right off, and as I described earlier, took the lead and made these allegations about me. And I just thought the whole thing was a Spanish inquisition, very poorly done.

11 .

Q. Okay. What I'm asking you about -- why you felt it was a Spanish inquisition. I'd like you to explain each and every way you felt that it was an improper kind of meeting.

I understand you said that you had no advance notice. I got that. In addition to having no advance notice, what was it that happened in the meeting that you felt was an inappropriate way to conduct an investigation?

- A. I called Ms. Bowden's office the day before to inquire as to the details of the meeting so I could better prepare and, as usual, got voice mail or a machine. And she never returned my call, which was standard procedure for her. So I had no knowledge, no preparation. I'm performing my normal duties. And I go in and get blind-sided by these three.
- Q. Mr. Mistovich, I'm just going to interrupt for a second. I'm going to go back and ask you the details of each -- for each of the reasons why you say that you thought that the manner in which she investigated these allegations was improper.

But I'm just trying to get -- before we go into the details of each of those reasons what -- you know, in a general sense what each of those reasons

were. And then we're going to go back, and I'm going to ask you some follow-up questions.

So you've talked about no advance notice.

And I'm going to go back and ask you questions about that. I'm trying to understand what else it was on a general statement in the meeting. And then I'm going to go back and you're going to have the opportunity to say what you want to communicate.

But if you could just list on a general level each of the things that you felt were inappropriate about the manner in which this meeting was conducted. I've got lack of advance notice.

- A. Your question is?
- Q. What were the other reasons that you felt the meeting was inappropriately conducted besides lack of advance notice?
- A. She hit me with these allegations. You know, again, I had no preparation. I'm sitting there in a daze. I'm on medication for high blood pressure. And my whole mentality was slowed. Mentally I was sluggish. I couldn't quite grasp what was going on. It was surreal to me to be hit with these allegations.

She accused me of stonewalling. The allegation was so outrageous that I was speechless. I

	57
1	have even asked you about the allegations that Alison
2	Leaton brought against you?
3	A. There could have been some advance warning
4	so I at least could have been better prepared to discuss
5	it rather than hit stone cold and blind-sided. It
6	almost appeared like a set-up to me.
7	Q. Again, apart from the advance warning I
8	understand that you were concerned because you say you
9	didn't have advance warning. Are you saying that it was
10	improper for Ms. Bowden to ask you questions about your
11	hiring practices?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Are you saying that there was anything
14	improper about Ms. Bowden asking you the questions that
15	she asked you in this meeting?
16	A. Can you repeat that question?
17	Q. Are you saying that there was anything
18	improper about the questions that Ms. Bowden asked you
19	in this meeting?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. What was improper about the questions that
22	she asked you?
23	A. I thought it was outrageous for her to have
24	apparently taken some allegations made by Alison Leaton

	5
1	and lend credence to them to even ask me questions about
2	it. I thought it was absurd.
3	Q. So you didn't think she should even ask you
4	these questions; is that correct?
5	A. I thought it was outrageous.
6	Q. You thought it was outrageous that she even
7	asked you any questions?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. So did you think it was outrageous that she
10	even conducted an investigation then?
11	A. I thought it wreaked. As I said at the
12	second session, it smelled. But then I corrected
13	myself. It wreaked. It wreaked that I was being set
L4	up.
L 5	Q. Are you saying that Ms. Bowden should not
L6	have asked you any questions about Alison Leaton's
L7	allegations?
L8	A. I'm saying it could have been done in a
L9	different format. The way it was done, similar to an
20	inquisition, I thought was outrageous for somebody who
21	had spent their entire career in the railroad industry
22	and had been doing hiring for 26 years with many
23	commendations, outrageous.
24	Q. I just want to be clear because it's

	59
1	unclear to me what was outrageous. It was outrageous
2	that you were brought in without advance notice; is that
3	correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Once you were in the room, was there and
6	I understand that you're concerned about the lack of
7	advance notice was there anything outrageous about
8	her simply asking you the questions that she asked you?
9	A. I thought so, to be bombarded with these
10	allegations sitting there under heavy medication. I
11	didn't know what to do or say or respond. I was I
12	was speechless.
13	Q. But was there something about the questions
14	that she asked that you thought were improper?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. What was improper about the questions that
17	she asked?
18	A. I felt the tone and the type of questions
19	was such that it left little doubt in my mind that
20	Ms. Bowden had made up her mind to give credence to
21	whatever allegations absurd allegations Alison Leaton
22	made, irregardless of my response.
23	Q. And when you say the tone, what was it
24	about the tone that she used that made you believe that?

- A. Very accusing, not what you would call a fair, impartial tone of voice and very curt questions.

 And the whole mannerisms and tone was very accusing and left no doubt that she had already made her mind up. So it was a charade, a set-up, basically.
- Q. What were the types of questions that she asked that made you conclude that they were improper? What were the questions that you were referring to when you say they were improper questions?
- A. She -- one -- one I recall was she was asking me about -- accusing me of, in reviewing resumes, that I'm excluding resumes based on where a candidate lives. I had no idea what she was talking about.
- Q. Do you think it was inappropriate for her to ask you that question?
- A. I think it could have been asked in a different forum. But in the forum with her asking -- and the other two gentlemen there, what their roles were, I don't know -- witnesses or observers, whatever.

To me, the deck was stacked already.

Somebody had made up their mind that this must have been true, and let's set Eli up, for whatever reason. I don't know.

Q. Well, name each reason why you think

the questions that she asked. And you said that she accused you of stonewalling. Apart from those three things, is there anything that she said or did that led you to believe that she had made up her mind that you were discriminating before you went into the room on March 26th?

MR. TEAGUE: I object to the form of the question.

- A. Yes.
- Q. What was that?

A. Subsequent to this meeting, I became aware that there had been some concerns raised by Boston City Councilor Chuck Turner concerning MBCR's hiring and lack of diversity in the workforce and that Ms. Bowden and I believe Robin McCullen Diaz, one of the other four members of the diversity subcommittee that would sit in and observe interviews, went up to Boston City Hall and had a meeting with the councilor. And my understanding is they were lambasted by the councilor.

What I believe happened is that Ms. Bowden came back and blasted Ms. Leaton, who is the sole recruiter, and who, again, coincidentally was nearing the end of her six-month contract, which was not going to be renewed for reasons we can only speculate about.

	64
1	And I got thrown under the bus by Ms. Leaton in a
2	desperate attempt to salvage her contract or her job.
3	So I believe Ms. Bowden had an agenda
4	entering that first inquisition that and I believe I
5	was made out to be a sacrificial lamb to solve all of
6	MBCR's hiring ills.
7	Q. And who told you about this meeting with
8	Councilor Chuck Turner?
9	A. I can't recall.
10	Q. And are you saying this meeting with
11	Councilor Turner was after the March 26th meeting?
12	A. I'm not sure of the exact date, but I'd
13	love to find out.
14	Q. And you're saying that Ms. Bowden made up
15	her mind as a result of what happened in this meeting
16	with Chuck Turner? Is that correct?
17	A. I believe she was looking for a sacrificial
18	lamb to take the heat off her, Ms. Leaton, and MBCR.
19	And along comes Eli as a convenient scapegoat for every
20	department's ills in MBCR. That is outrageous.
21	Q. Okay. In addition to the question
22	before you are all the reasons why you believe
23	Ms. Bowden had made up her mind before the meeting on
24	March 26th that you were discriminating against African-

6	6
_	

were asked. And, again, I was speechless for periods of time. I just didn't know how to respond to these -- and she immediately accused me of stonewalling and said that, Well, you're stonewalling this. You're not going to respond so we have to assume that Ms. Leaton's allegations are true. That's the gist of my recollection. And I just thought that was incredible.

- Q. So you thought it was incredible that when you were unable to respond to her questions that she told you that they were going to have to believe Alison Leaton's allegations were true; is that correct?
- A. Not just the subject but the way it was said left no doubt in my mind that Ms. Bowden had her mind made up.
- Q. So when you say the way it was said, you mean her tone of voice; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. But I'm trying to understand. Apart from her tone of voice, is there anything about her telling you that if you were unable to answer the questions that they were going to have to conclude Alison Leaton's allegations were true that led you to believe that she had made up her mind?
 - A. Could you repeat that one? You lost me.

2.0

- Q. You indicated that because she -- because Ms. Bowden said that if you couldn't answer the questions they were going to conclude that you had discriminated, is there anything about her telling you that, apart from her tone of voice, that you think was improper?
- A. I think it was improper to be accused of something like that. I'm sitting there in a daze, unable to respond. I thought it was incredibly improper, the whole inquisition.
- Q. What was improper about her telling you that if you couldn't answer the questions they were going to have to conclude that they were true? What was improper about that?
- A. What was improper is Ms. Bowden came in with a prearranged list of questions all scripted out. I'm coming in stone cold, not a clue what's going on, heavily medicated with high blood pressure -- a total contrast. It was -- it was unfair, to say the least. The whole process was unfair.
- Q. Was there anything improper -- here's my question to you on specifics. I understand you're complaining about things generally. But I'm trying to figure out for each of those things whether you thought

1	it was improper or not.
2	So when she told you that if you couldn't
3	answer her questions they were going to have to conclude
4	that you, in fact, were discriminating, was it improper
5	for her to tell you that?
6	A. I don't know. I can't recall exactly. I'm
7	sitting there in a daze. I don't know whether it was
8	improper or not.
9	Q. So you don't know whether it was improper
10	for her to tell you that if you couldn't answer the
11	questions they were going to have to conclude that you
12	were discriminating?
13	A. I feel it was improper.
14	Q. Okay. Well, that's my question. What was
15	improper about it?
16	MR. TEAGUE: I think that's been asked and
17	answered.
18	MS. RUBIN: Well, it's been asked, but it
19	hasn't been answered.
20	MR. TEAGUE: I tend to disagree, and you're
21	starting to argue with the witness so I'm going to
22	object.
23	Q. Could you please explain each reason why
24	you thought it was improper for her to tell you that if